## Optimizing the Placement of Unstructured Mesh Resolution for ADCIRC in Coastal Regions

Ajimon Thomas<sup>1</sup>, J.C. Dietrich<sup>1</sup>, J.G. Fleming<sup>2</sup>, B.O. Blanton<sup>3</sup>, R.A. Luettich Jr<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina State University; <sup>2</sup>Seahorse Coastal Consulting; <sup>3</sup>Renaissance Computing Institute, UNC Chapel Hill; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Marine Sciences, UNC Chapel Hill





- ADCIRC Surge Guidance System (ASGS) provides forecast guidance for winds, waves and storm surge during a hurricane
- For NC Coast, ADCIRC is run twice daily during normal conditions, and four times daily during severe storms



- The high-resolution mesh that exists for North Carolina is the NC9 mesh
- Originally developed for the FEMA flood mapping study, which involved running hundreds of simulations of hypothetical storms
- Main consideration was efficiency while running hypothetical storms
- Total of 1,230,430 elements
- Over 50% of the elements have spacing between 50 m 500 m and the largest elements (> 500 m) are largely outside of the coastal NC region



- The NC9 mesh is computationally expensive and hence used only during storm events
- For daily forecasts, the smaller NC6b mesh is used
- Both NC9 and NC6b have fairly the same spatial extent but NC9 has higher resolution on some of the inner flood plains and on the outer banks



- Increasing the mesh resolution *should* increase the model accuracy
  - Published studies: Blain *et al* (1994), Hagen *et al* (2001), Dawson *et al* (2006) and many others
  - Anecdotal experience: Representation of fine-scale features affecting flow
- Is it possible to increase the mesh resolution in nearshore regions (thereby increasing the accuracy of predictions) without also increasing the overall cost of the simulations?
  - Take resolution from regions with small errors
  - Add resolution to regions with large errors
  - Keep constant the total number of vertices / elements

## **Goals and Objectives**

GOAL:

 Increase accuracy for simulations on the NC9 mesh without increasing its total computational cost

**OBJECTIVES:** 

- Develop tools to automatically identify the regions with large/small errors
  - By finding Accuracy Errors relative to a "true" solution obtained from a high resolution mesh
  - By finding Mass Conservation Errors on an element basis
- Targeted mesh refinement Future Work
  - Decrease resolution (remove elements) in regions with small errors
  - Increase resolution (add elements) in regions with large errors

#### **Interpolation Tools for Newer Meshes**

We want to change the NC meshes

- Need tools to interpolate bathymetry / topography to newer meshes
- Newer meshes need to be comparable to older meshes

Use the NC DEM

- Developed as part of FEMA study
- Best available data from various sources
- 1/3 arc-second (~10 meter) cell spacing
- Finished v2.4 in 2008

How was the existing NC9 mesh developed?

- High-resolution grid covering the NC costal region was appended to a previously developed grid (Blanton et al, 2004)
- The NC v2.6 DEM was used to provide bathymetry and topography
- Use of NOAA Nautical charts
- SMS version 9

#### **Interpolation Tools for Newer Meshes**

How can we update these tools for our study of mesh resolution?

- The existing NC9 mesh was re-interpolated using an interpolation algorithm that resulted in bathy/topo values that best matched the values in the original mesh
- Raster used : NC v2.6 DEM
- Interpolation technique:
  - ADCIRC Cell Averaging for most vertices
  - Special treatment:
    - ADCIRC Cell Maximum for dune crests
    - ADCIRC Cell Minimum for channel centerlines

- Mesh node under consideration
  - Raster Points to be taken for IDW



ADCIRC Cell Averaging

- Assuming that the solution will converge to a "true" solution as the mesh resolution is increased, a mesh having four times the resolution of NC9 was created using SMS – NC9x4
- By comparing to an over resolved mesh, we will get some idea of the accuracy errors in the original solution
- We are also considering the use of a NC9x16 mesh in the future



1,230,430 Elements

4,921,720 Elements

- Water levels obtained from ADCIRC runs on this mesh for Hurricane Irene was compared against water levels from the NC9 mesh
- This was done by mapping the global water levels file (fort.63) of NC9 mesh on to the NC9x4 mesh by linear interpolation
- We will also be looking at other storms (Sandy, Arthur) in the future
- For Irene:
  - Made its first landfall on the outer banks of North Carolina at about 7:30 a.m. on August 27, 2011
  - Tides only simulation for 15 days: August 6, 12:00 a.m. to August 21, 12:00 a.m.
  - Winds for 8 days: August 21, 12:00 a.m. to August 29, 12:00 a.m.
  - Thus, a total 23 days simulation



Best track positions for Hurricane Irene, 21-28 August 2011 Source: Tropical Cyclone Report by National Hurricane Centre

Accuracy Errors In the NC9 Mesh

• NC9 (interpolated onto NC9x4) - NC9x4 (True solution)



Water levels during Hurricane Irene (m)

Difference in water levels during Hurricane Irene (m)

#### **NC STATE UNIVERSITY**

#### **Finding Accuracy Errors in the NC9 Mesh**



- ADCIRC conserves mass globally, but not locally
  - CG method integrates over the entire domain
- The idea is to compute the errors in mass conservation on an element basis and use them as a criteria for mesh refinement, as has been shown in published studies:
  - Berger et al (2002), Dietrich et al (2008)
- Increasing the mesh resolution in regions of large errors should reduce the errors at those regions
- Moreover, elements could also be moved from regions with low errors to regions with high errors, thus improving accuracy without increasing the total number of elements

Depth-Averaged Continuity Equation

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \nabla . (HU) = 0$$

The residual was normalized by the still-water volume of the element to obtain

$$\varepsilon_{v} = \frac{\sum_{e} [\overline{\zeta_{t}} - \overline{\zeta_{t_{0}}}]_{e} A_{e} + \sum_{k} \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q_{net}^{t+\Delta t} + Q_{net}^{t} \right] \Delta t}{A_{e} \overline{h}}$$



Accumulation

$$\frac{A_e \left(\overline{H_2} - \overline{H_1}\right)}{dt}$$

Sum of Fluxes

Each Flux = side x Avg. Normal Vel. x Avg. Height

 The mass residuals were first calculated on an Idealized Channel Test Case to check if the residuals occurred at expected regions and to get an idea about wetting and drying fronts



Idealized Channel Test Case

- 64,415 nodes
- 4 Days simulation
- At bottom, it is a ocean boundary
- At the other three boundaries, it is a land boundary with tangential slip
- Bathymetry ranges from 8 m at bottom boundary to -2 m at top boundary
- Mesh resolution is around 80 m at the ocean boundary and reduces to 20 m on the top boundary
- 12 hour tidal signal is given as the input



Water Levels in meters (left) and Mass Residuals as percentage of still water volume (right)

• For the mass residuals, positive values means added mass and negative means lost mass.

#### Mass Residuals on the NC9 mesh



Water Levels during Hurricane Irene (m)

#### Mass Residuals as % of the still-water volume

#### **NC STATE UNIVERSITY**



Mass Residuals at selected locations

#### Conclusions

- Comparing the Accuracy and Residual plots, it can be seen that the high values of mass residuals occur in regions where there are large accuracy errors
  - For example: the inner flood plains, the sound-side of outer banks
- Similarly, the low values of mass residuals occur in regions where there are small accuracy errors
  - For example: the open ocean

#### **NC STATE UNIVERSITY**

m

0.200

0.100 0.050

0.025 0.010

0.000

-0.010 -0.025

-0.050 -0.100

-0.200



Current Resolution: 75 – 250 m

# Thank You